Sign-Rank Test

ST551 Lecture 14

Charlotte Wickham 2017-10-20

Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test

Population: $Y \sim$ some population distribution **Sample:** *n* i.i.d from population: Y_1, \ldots, Y_n **Parameter:** ?

Null Hypothesis the population 'center' is *c*₀.

Let's talk about the procedure first, then come back to why it's hard to be specific here.

- 1. Find the distance of each observed value from the hypothesized center, c_0 .
- Assign a rank to each observation based on its distance from c₀: from 1 for closest, to *n* for furthest from c₀.
- 3. Test statistic: S = Sum of the ranks for the values that were larger than c_0 .



3. Test statistic: $S = \text{sum of ranks for } Y_i > 4 =$

Either:

- Use an exact p-value, by assuming *each rank* has the same chance of being assigned above or below c₀, or
- Use the Normal approximation to the null distribution of S

If the population distribution were symmetric about c_0 , each rank $1, \ldots, n$ independently has probability 0.5 of being assigned to an observation above c_0 .

We can consider all possible ways of assigning the ranks $1, \ldots, n$ above and below c_0 to work out the exact reference distribution (this is what the R function wilcox.test() does if you use the argument exact = TRUE)

If the population distribution were symmetric about c_0 ,

$$E(S) = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}, \quad Var(S) = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}$$

(Can prove by considering S as a sum of products between Bernoulli(0.5) r.v's and the integers 1, ..., n)

So, we can construct a Z-statistic

$$Z = \frac{S - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}}{\sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}}}$$

and compare it to a N(0, 1)

Example: continued

$$E(S) = \frac{n(n+1)}{4} = \frac{12(13)}{4} = 39$$
$$Var(S) = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24} = \frac{12(13)(25)}{24} = 162.5$$

$$Z = \frac{66 - 39}{\sqrt{162.5}} = 2.12$$

2 * (1 - pnorm(abs(z)))

[1] 0.03417047

Your turn: Sketch worksheet

With no additional assumptions

As a test of the population mean:

- The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is not assymptotically exact
- The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is not consistent

As a test of the population median:

- The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is not assymptotically exact
- The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is not consistent

If you add an assumption: the population distribution is symmetric.

The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is assymptotically exact

The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is consistent

Null hypothesis: $\mu = M = c_0$

We learn about the mean/median. Of course we could learn more about these parameters directly with a t-test or sign test without the additional symmetry assumption. Often presented as:

"The nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test compares the median of a single column of numbers against a hypothetical median." **Incorrect**, without symmetry assumption, and then it's equally a test of the mean.

"This is another test that is a non-parametric equivalent of a 1-Sample t-test". **Incorrect**, without symmetry assumption.

"The Wilcoxon signed-rank test applies to the case of symmetric continuous distributions. Under this assumption, the mean equals the median. The null hypothesis is $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ " Correct

```
y <- c(0.8, 2.1, 2.8, 4.3, 5.3, 6.1, 7.3, 8.2,
9.3, 10.1, 10.9, 12.1)
```

Exact p-values with exact = TRUE (default)

wilcox.test(y, mu = 4, exact = TRUE)

```
##
## Wilcoxon signed rank test
##
## data: y
## V = 66, p-value = 0.03418
## alternative hypothesis: true location is not equal to 4
```

y <- c(0.8, 2.1, 2.8, 4.3, 5.3, 6.1, 7.3, 8.2, 9.3, 10.1, 10.9, 12.1)

Approximate p-values with exact = FALSE and no continuity correction

wilcox.test(y, mu = 4, exact = FALSE, correct = FALSE)

##
Wilcoxon signed rank test
##
data: y
V = 66, p-value = 0.03417
alternative hypothesis: true location is not equal to 4